

REMARKS

This Reply is in response to the Office Action mailed October 4, 2006. In that Office communication, the amendment was considered not fully responsive because it did not list all of the claims with their identifiers. This Reply hereby re-submits the previous amendment, including a listing of all the claims, along with their identifiers. A copy of the previous amendment is provided below. Further, this Reply also includes a substitute Specification, as requested in that Office communication.

Previous Amendment filed on July 25, 2006

In the Office communication mailed June 23, 2006, on page 2 under **Response to Applicant's Non-Final Rejection**, the Examiner notes that she is unable to find an amendment in Claim 1 of Applicant's Reply filed on May 15, 2006.

Reproduced below is Claim 1 as submitted on May 15, 2006. The amendment which was made to Claim 1 consists of the addition of the word “a” on the eighth line of the claim, after the word “generating” in response to a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, fourth paragraph in the Office Action for “insufficient antecedent basis” for the data warehouse. Since the only reason for such a rejection is that the first occurrence of a limitation is not introduced with an indefinite article, Applicant presumed that such indefinite article was missing from the PTO copy of the claim and seeks to remedy such situation. The added word was underlined and the claim was properly designated as “Currently Amended.”

If, on the other hand, the original 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection was in error in the first place, then such addition is not necessary and the Examiner is authorized to withdraw the rejection and allow the

claim without further amendment. The Examiner has also previously been authorized to amend this or any other claims as necessary to overcome any other instances of insufficient antecedent basis that the Examiner believes she has found.

Claim 1 is reproduced below as this claim existed in the previous reply for the convenience of the Examiner.

1. (Currently Amended): An apparatus comprising:

a memory,

a bus,

a processor, coupled to the memory by the bus, the processor operative to carry out the steps of:

receiving a definition of a reverse star schema meta-model;

receiving a definition of at least one customer profile group;

generating a data warehouse populated with information from a source database in accordance with the reverse star schema meta-model;

receiving input indicating at least one quantity of interest in the information;

receiving a definition for a data model;

dynamically creating at least one generated database with information from the data warehouse based upon the data model, the customer profile group and configured to the quantity of interest; and

displaying at least a portion of the dynamically generated database.

In light of the above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now pending in the subject patent application should be allowable, and a Notice of Allowance is requested. The Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if he can assist in any way in expediting issuance of a patent.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: October 31, 2006

By:


Justas Geringson
Reg. No. 57,033

FLIESLER MEYER LLP
Four Embarcadero Center, Fourth Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-4156
Telephone: (415) 362-3800 x227
Facsimile: (415) 362-2928
Customer No. 23910